Many times we wandered around the institution and had demands on three blocks at one time that we

two sergeants available for daytime

and had demands on three blocks at one time that we couldn't possibly cover. There was also a severe officer shortage. All these thoughts were relayed to Albany.

I think the Commissioner in response said the he felt we were adequately staffed.

- Q You are referring to a letter which you received from Assemblyman Walkley which was a reply singed by Commissioner Oswald to the Assemblyman dated May 11, 1971?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q In that letter he stated:

"The supervisory staff of the Attica

Correctional Facility consists of a deputy

superintendent, an assistant deputy superintendent, a captain, five lieutenants and ten sergeants.

"Because of illness, personal and annual leaves, and certain institutional tasks, such as the transfer of a group of offenders to another facility, a full complement of correction officers or supervisory officers on any one shift cannot be guaranteed.

the services of three lieutenants as two are

ill and one position is vacant. The vacancy,

'frozen' by the Division of Budget because of
the austerity budget, has just been removed
from this status and will be filled very soon.

"It is my feeling that the manpower
shortage at Attica is not sufficiently serious

That's the letter you were referring to?

A Yes.

at the present time to cause any difficulty in

Q You didn't agree with that conclusion, I take it, that it was not sufficiently serious?

maintaining proper security."

A I would say when you are short three out of five, I couldn't agree, no.

Secondly, like I stated previously, there was no consistency in staffing. No criteria used.

As I stated previously, at Woodbourne they had 640 residents, 15 sergeants. At Attica they had 2300 and ten sergeants. No criteria for staffing at all.

Q Did you feel that your men worked in any position long enough to get to know the inmates and work with them?

1

7

6

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

difficult for a sergeant covering three blocks rather than being in one block where he could personally supervise and get to know his inmates or even know the block.

- Q When you came into this institution, did you have opportunities to get to know inmates?
 - You mean on my returning to Attica? Α
 - Q When you first started.
 - Α Yes.
- Q Did you have opportunities to take an interest in any inmates?
 - Α Yes.
 - Did you take interest in any of them? Q
- Well, for example, let's say, you had Boots Α Young, there is an inmate that testified this morning by the name of Young?
 - Q Yes.
- At the time of his reception in 1960, I was working as a guidance counselor. I wrote up his case history, had the pre-parole investigations, followed his case for approximately two and a half years.

Later in the law library I became involved in his legal action to the extent that I was notarizing his papers and followed his case, yes.

24

25

Q Did you read his briefs and things like that? Well, I appeared in court while he was there testifying, so any evidence presented before the court I heard, yes. accompanying him? Α

You appeared just as a security officer

Right. But as part of my duties.

But other than notarizing, did you give him any assistance, encouragement or anything else?

701

I think to some degree in the law library. We try to steer the inmates if we could.

0 You say your work as a Notary had something to do with inmates filing writs.

Did you come to any conclusions with respect to the frustrations that the men feel when they come to Attica after they have been through our court system?

Well, this I feel is one of the major frustrations. That is, the inmate arriving at the institution, mine chances out of ten the attorney has automatically filed notice of appeal. He has great hopes in this.

Then I think through other inmate contacts he starts doing his own inmate work, inmate legal work and as a rule he is bringing up one point at a time,

22

23 24

25

either habeas corpus or coram nobis back to the 702 original court. Frequently on coram nobis it's his home area.

The lawyer assigned is a long distance away. Very little communication between the two causes a lot of anxiety.

Habeas corpus, as a rule, the judge held court in the institution either weekly or--I think it's weekly now. Maybe it was two or three times a month when I was there.

But with a backlog of cases, his awaiting a decision was very lengthy. And this caused a lot of anxiety.

If he could get an answer from the county court, he could appeal to the Appellate Division. If he could hear from the Appellate Division, he could appeal to the Court of Appeals.

A very long and time-consuming process and this added to frustrations.

You talked abour your own anxieties, about the fact you left your wallet home, about the fact that money wasn't appropriated, that you had inadequate staffing.

There came a time when Commissioner Oswald visited Attica just before the uprising; am I correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q And what affect, as you perceived it, did that visit have?

A There was a lot of reference made to the Commissioner's tape. I did not hear this. So I do not know what promises were made, et cetera.

Through conversations of inmates out in the yard a day or two later and the consensus of their conversations, that he did not--promised things that were almost impossible to deliver.

In other words, even they recognized the fact that before they could go home oneweekends, it would have to go before the Legislature for appropriations, for bus transportation, et cetera.

In other words, they felt a lot of promises would not be fulfilled at that particular point and some of them not in the future.

Now, I personally did not hear the tape.

I don't know what promises were made. This was the feed-back after the Commissioner's tape was played.

Q Well, these inmates you knew had great hopes for change for quite a while.

They had been promised reform; is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q And you told Mr. Henrix that the worst thing

that a correction officer can do to a man 704 under confinement is to promise something and then not deliver?

A I would like to clarify that.

Certainly the correction officer or the sergeant is the man talking to the inmate. Many times those making the promises are a long ways away. It is much more difficult for the officer, for the individual in direct contact with the inmate.

In other words, I think you will find many frustrations taken out on the officer in direct contact with the inmate because they can't get to the Commissioner.

Q Do you think that it was a case here of many things being promised in the way of reform, programming, in hope and then very little being delivered from these promises?

A I would not only say that prior to the disturbance, I am saying it's true today.

Q And do you think that the fact that these promises are not being lived up to is creating security problems to yourself and putting you, as a correction officer who works in that institution always outnumbered, in jeopardy?

A I don't think there is any doubt about it.

Q And if you had to make an appeal 705 here today and wanted to make a statement, do I take it that the burden of your statement would be that the public has just got to come across with the funds necessary for the programming and for the reforms that have been long overdue?

A I think the one thing the public has to be educated on and also the Legislature, that an institution operating 24 hours a day cannot be cut in staff.

Maybe on an eight-hour day, maybe in a business office you can cut a stenographer, but when you cut an officer from an institution that's operating 24 hours, you are exposing their lives.

In other words, we come--the budgets are cut ten per cent. There isn't any way the Department of Correction can cut their budget ten per cent without jeopardizing lives and safety, food, clothing or the necessary items.

I critize the Legislature to the extent that institutions or any 24-hour operation cannot stand a ten per cent cut.

MR. LIMAN: Mr. Chairman.

MR. McKAY: Sgt. Bottone, I want to remind you, as I think you are aware, that you have an opportunity to make your own statement if you

wish, before the conclusion of your 706 time before us.

Now, I think there are some questions from the members of the Commission and then if you wish to make a statement we will be very glad to hear it.

Mrs. Wadsworth.

EXAMINATION BY MRS. WADSWORTH:

Q I think that throughout the hearing I am seeking threads to find ways of making change.

You stated that if the inmates are happy, then the correctional officers are happy, I believe; is that right?

- A I don't think there is any doubt about it.
- Q That's the way you phrased it, I believe.
- A Yes.
- Q Do you feel the correction officers fell as a group or individually think of means and ways to improve, alleviate conditions there?

Do you think they take this as an assignment, that they take this as an idea and a goal, thinking of ways of making the situation better in any way?

A During the course of the years I have seen correction officers improvise, with the aid of inmates,

Many other areas where they have improvised either with the inmates and the cooperation of the officers. Yes, I feel the officer is trying to supplement in any way that he can, either with material things or otherwise.

I think the officers are doing a tremendous job with what they have to work with.

Q In talking with a gentleman who is the head of the correction officers on the West Coast, he stated that one of the goals of their union activities was to make better the conditions of the inmate population.

This was a surprising point to me at the beginning and I asked him to clarify why.

He said the same thing you are saying, if the inmates are happy, then you are, too.

So I wonder if in the union activities here or is there reality in the union taking this kind of goal or interest and wondering what kinds of things they can do to improve the situation.

A That's a difficult question. We have serious problems with our union. It's in trustee-ship of the International. New York State has many problems with the union that I would rather not dis-

8

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

There is no reality in that at this point? Q

Well, as far as New York State is concerned. Α MR. McKAY: Bishop Broderick.

EXAMINATION BY BISHOP BRODERICK:

cuss their participation, et cetera.

Q Sergeant, did you hear Boots Young's testimony this morning?

Α Unfortunately, I was en route, so I did not.

One of the things he did mention, he said Q that to a question from Mr. Liman, that racism among the correctional officers, he thought it would be confined to about three or four. I think four was the outside figure.

Would you share this opinion?

Maybe this is a two-pronged question. am asking you, A, do you feel there is any racism among the CO, as two, would you say it would be four?

He would be in a better position than I as a supervisor to hear it or know it. To the extent that he probably--let's say he is in a different position and certainly might be the recipient so I think he would be in a better position to judge it.

- Q You wouldn't dispute what he said?
- I probably would say lower. Α
- I don't mean to pit you against him. Q

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Α No, I would respect his judgment.

> BISHOP BRODERICK: Thank you.

709

MR. McKAY: Mr. Rothschild.

EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTHSCHILD:

Q Sgt. Bottone, in a lot of the testimony we have heard thus far, both from inmates and from correction personnel, it seems that both sides are victimized in a considerable degree by common problems.

You have mentioned at some length and you are not alone in that, the physical stringency of the institution.

There has been a good deal of mention, more by inmates than officers, but certainly also by the officers, about the clumsiness of some of the operating procedures, some of the built-in problems that perhaps are not necessary to the institution and aggregate a relationship which at best is a difficult one.

I guess institutions change slowly. But hopefully there has been enough focus on this to be hopeful about some of those things not being impossible to change.

The other thing we have heard a lot about are attitudes. There has been a lot of talk about the change in the population, the change in the mores,

the change in the points of view of inmates 710 who used to mind their business and do their time and now have quite a different perspective.

You said and Mrs Wadsworth repeated the state of mind of the inmates relates pretty well to the state of mind of the correction officers.

What has that change in attitude represented in a correction officer's perspective?

You talked about being assaulted in the last few days. I recognize that since that's before the court you may not want to go into that in detail. It's symptomatic of what I'm trying to ask, though.

The attitudes are related to each other of inmates and officers?

A Right. I think there is a small percentage --let's bear in mind, we get our people off the streets.

There is going to be a certain percentage that are trained to break or bust the system inside as well as outside.

I think this is a very small percentage, but it is there. I don't think it's the rules and regulations they're fighting. They're just trying to bring down the establishment, I think it's that simple.

Q What does that do to the daily job of a

correction officer is really what I'm asking. 711

If someone assaults you, what other manifestations are in the daily problems of a correction officer, does that bring out?

A Well, of course, this is a very small community. Word travels. It's demoralizing. I don't think there is any officer there that doesn't feel that the same thing could happen to him. I think he is aware of it.

The inmates know it, we know it. The situation is there.

Q Is there a lot of invective traded? This is the kind of thing we hear, but we don't really know.

A I don't think there is any doubt about it.

There is very close community. I would like to
add this: There has been three assaults recently.

We have heard all these brutality charges for six
months.

I haven't seen any evidence yet from the courts where one officer has been called into court to testify on his own behalf as far as facing the brutality charges. I can't believe the inmates do not know who they are.

In other words, they have an opportunity

to subpoena these people in court and 712
they haven't been and this is six months later,
nor has there been any court case to prove that
there has been any brutality.

Q In summation, I am trying to be clear about it, would you say that, and I am--would you say that basically the relationship between inmate and officer in the institution today has--is a more abrasive, less congenial one than it was a few years ago?

I don't mean before or after the incident.

A There is no doubt about that.

MR. McKAY: Mr. Wilbanks.

EXAMINATION BY MR. WILBANKS:

Q You indicated there was a rise in political activity. I think you indicated this.

A Yes.

Q Could you give us--you didn't quite express or give a definition of what you meant by this.

By taking an area where inmates congregate, such as in the yard, could you give us an example of what type of activity would alarm you?

For example, before the incident of September 9th.

A Well, of course, this is a matter of opinion, too.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

What did you feel intimidates you, 713 Q is a threat to you?

When they saw 20 or 40 inmates practicing karate, I was very concerned, I don't mind telling you. I am talking about organized activity and this is what I am telling you.

What about speech, what about other than karate?

We're talking personally now. I was supervising from the Times Square tunnel, the whole four yards, so therefore, as far as voices, I did not hear what they were talking about. Others did, et cetera. I myself did not hear.

Mine was mostly visual.

MR. McKAY: Sgt. Bottone, a number of inmates have reported to us and some of them have testified here that one of their great concerns is the attack by other inmates upon themselves and particularly the homosexual attack.

Do you consider that a serious problem in the institution?

THE WITNESS: I consider an attack, an assault on anyone serious whether it is an employee, another inmate or what.

9

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Our main function and our 714 main purpose is to protect the inmates. I would say a year prior to the disturbance it was very difficult to protect other inmates, inmates from other inmates.

EXAMINATION BY MR. McKAY:

What special kinds of precautions can you Q take to protect them, one against the other?

Well, if there is no contact, it is extremely difficult. If there is contact, this is a disciplinary report.

We were in a position, prior to some court procedures where we would separate the two inmates. In other words, Attica is built so that we have four separate blocks. We like to think we have four separate institutions.

So they would take every precaution, if two inmates had an altercation, just to separate and try to keep them separated.

MR. McKAY: Thank you.

MR. LIMAN: Are you finished with your questions, Mr. Chairman?

MR. McKAY: Yes. I was going to ask the Sergeant if he had a statement to make.

MR. LIMAN: I wanted to ask one question

pursuing the question of Mr. Wilbanks. 715
BY MR. LIMAN:

Q If you saw a group of 30 or 40 inmates standing around the yard in a circle talking today, would you become apprehensive, if you couldn't hear what they were saying?

A I would have to have more information than that, other than just seeing a group.

Q But, Sgt. Bottone, from where you would be stationed looking out from a window that may be the only information that you have.

You would see a group of black inmates standing around the yard and not even be able to make out in some cases the faces.

Would that mere aggregation of individuals in the yard create apprehension on your part?

A No, not at this time. Right now near the basketball court there may be 60 talking, maybe not even watching the basketball game, but that's the situation today. This in itself would not be harmful.

In other words, unless we had other information that there was some type of organization. But what you described to me would not be alarming.

MR. McKAY: Sgt. Bottone, do you wish to make a statement?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only thing I can say at this point, I think all of us at Attica are very disappointed in the Legislature. We felt possibly as a result of this disturbance that we would see some evidence prior to this point, some progress and obviously we have seen very little or none.

The only thing I can do is express our extreme disappointment with what's been done up to this point.

MR. McKAY: Thank you very much for your statement. We are appreciative of your cooperation.

THE WITNESS: I would like to add one thing: Your staff has come in and tried to do a very commendable job and certainly anyone that's trying to help us, we in correction much against public opinion, we certainly enjoy people coming in, we want to be investigated. We welcome it. If you would like to leave Mr. Rossbacher or the rest of his monitors, we would be very happy to have him.

MR. McKAY: I admire the staff as much as you do and we thank you for your comments.

(The witness was excused.)