Q I think this would be an appropriate 2089 moment to show the film of the police action and then I would like you to perhaps--perhaps maybe you can comment on it or perhaps we can have questions on it.

A I think probably the best thing would be to show the entire thing and not break in.

MR. LIMAN: That is what we will do.

MR. McKAY: On behalf of the Commission, I wish to make a brief statement before the film begins. The film presentation was prepared by the Commission staff from video tapes, photographs, slides and motion pictures taken by the Division of State Police. We believe that it is a fair representation of this material. Any reproduction, rebroadcast or other use of this tape except for contemporaneous news reports of these hearings is unauthorized without the written permission of the Commission.

MR. LIMAN: Mr. Luxemburg.

MR. LUXEMBURG: At the time of the retaking, the State Police had for picture sources a video tape recorder and we will show the entire video tape this afternoon that was taken at the time of the assault. They had two men with Super 8 movie cameras and the material that they took concerning the assault will be shown completely later on. 2090

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They also had a number of still photographers, one of whom was located on the roof of C-block and others who went down the catwalks and we will show a selection of this material also.

In addition, photographs of activities in the yard were taken by a deputy sheriff from the Monroe County Sheriff's Department and we will show some of the pictures that he took. These are the sources that are presently available to us. The source of the sound that you will hear is the video tape. This is the only source of sound so that when you hear sound you know you are seeing the video tape.

The narration is live, that is, it was made at the actual time that the video tape was taken by a State Trooper located at the camera source. Staff members, particularly Roberto Sackett and Robert Potts and myself, made a thorough review of the photographic materials, that is, the still materials and have selected those materials which we believe as Dean McKay said, tell a fair and representative portrait of what happened during the assault. We believe that the materials that have been made available to us are complete and this is based on representations of the Department of 2091 the State Troopers and interviews with the photographers as well as our own independent checks.

In order to acquaint the viewers with what it is they are about to see, we have prepared an introductory segment to give you a view of the yards and to show you the viewpoints from which the cameras will be operating.

We are then going to show the video tape in its entirety uninterrupted and then we will go back and show it again, this time supplemented by the super 8 movie sequences and a selection of the still photographs to supplement what it is that is seen.

I would first like to call your attention to the basic chart--I don't know if I can do this successfully with this mike. Again, the major features are the four blocks, A-block, B, C and D. The four yards and the two principal yards that will be concerned with are A-yard, which is where the inmates emerged after the police action, they came out of this door and D-yard where the inmates were before the action started. These are the catwalks. This is the Times Square area with the blockhouse.

In order to call to your attention some

of the major features of the film, I think you 2092 should be aware of the handball backboard which is in here and is one of the more visible landmarks so you can recognize where the cameras are shooting.

The negotiation table which is up in this area and a wooden framework over the top of it. This line represents the trench that was dug in the yard. On the catwalks you see the barricades would be at the time of the police action would be in this area on C-catwalk and in this area on A-catwalk.

In addition, the--one of the other principal features is this doorway right here which leads out of the D-catwalk into A-yard on from which the inmates will be emerging later on.

The first sequence is a sequence that was taken several days previously to the 13th. It was taken on September 9th and it shows a pan of the four yards. It was taken from the roof of C-block and it shows you a complete swing of the inside of the yards. Can we have the first presentation?

(Film being shown.)

MR. LUXEMBURG: The barricades had not been erected in that segment. Most of the tents that comprised tent city were not erected at this point.

1

2

3

Now we would like to show you a 2093 view from the A-block side with a pan from Times Square. In other words, the camera will be up here. It pans from Times Square and the blockhouse across D-catwalk and looks into the hostage area, which is approximately in there.

This is not the camera location that we will see during the time of the assault but at least you will be able to get a view of what the D-yard looked like from the A-block side.

> Can we have the second portion, please? (Film being run.)

MR. LUXEMBURG: That large white structure that appeared was the basketball--sorry, the handball backboard which was up in this area and then we saw just the tops of the heads in the hostage circle in here. That view was, would have been a view that a .270 rifleman stationed in this area of A-block might have seen.

The next shot is a closer up shot from Cblock. It was taken late in the afternoon of September 12th. At this point the barricades had been erected. The structure over the negotiating table had been erected and most of the tents had been erected and you will see an open area in here in front

of the negotiating table.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

¥ku ≫n The table itself---you will see the tents are in this area of the yard. Again you will see the broad side of the handball backboard and B-block and the pansin the background will be D-block.

In the foreground will be this catwalk and eventually you will see a side view of the D-catwalk. So we have that portion run.

(Film being run,)

MR. LUXEMBURG: Again, the narration on that was the actuallnarration at the time. That was taken on Sunday afternoon at 4:08.

At this point one last thing we would like to show you before we show you the actual video tape, is that on Thursday, again early, before the tents and the barricades had been erected, they took a video tape view through the scope of a .270 rifle and I think this will give you a pretty good idea of what it was that one of these rifle--what he was looking at when he looked through his scope and was attempting to line up a potential target. The view is from the V roof. It is a view of activities on B-catwalk and some of the activities in the yard.

Again this was before most of the barricades and most of the tents had been erected, but I

1	think it will give you an idea of the type 2094A	
2	of situation that a rifleman had to face.	
3	Can we have that?	
4	(The film being run.)	
5		
6		
7	(Continued on page 2095.)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		[].]
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22		
23		
24		
25		

MR. LUXEMBURG: Ι should say that at the start of that the camera was out of focus which created difficulty in seeing the first ten seconds of that segment. Again, the narration was live.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

The narrator indicated there were 21 hostages and as we know there wree 38.

We are now ready at this point to run the actual video tape of the assault at 9:05 a.m. There is an interruption and then it runs pretty much uninterrupted starting at 9:45 a.m. Are we ready on that.

(The video tape is being run).

MR. LUXEMBURG: As I said, the narration was the original narration at the time by State Police personnel. The background noise consisting of gun fire, the firing of tear gas projectiles and the helicopter noises.

We would like to show now a composit of the video tape, the Super 8 and the still sources. The composit has resulted from the fact that it's very difficult, even after looking at this video tape a number of times to be able to absorb the rather massive amount of activity that occurred in a very short period of time and we

felt it would be useful to supple- 2096 ment the video tape with the other sources so that the viewer can focus in better on what is happening. We have attempted to present the composit in basically a chronology order.

 2^{1}

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It may be assumed that the Super 8 scenes and the still scenes are occurring basically concurrent with the video tape material that comes either immediately before or immediately after.

The video tape is accompanied by the sound track. The Super 8 is silent as are the slides and stills and we are not going to narrate over that. We are going to let it run just as it is.

Again, there are different camera sources now. Just to review, the video tape recorder is located here on the roof of A-block. Some of the--one of the still photographers is located on the roof of C-block in approximately this location and we will give you a view in this direction. The Super 8 camera, one of them inside A-block and went out A-catwalk as did one of the other still photographers.

Eventually both the Super 8 photographers and the still photographers ended up on the catwalks

2097 in this area and will be taking 3 scenes of D-yard and of A-yard and of the catwalks from in here and I believe there are some pictures that were taken down in the yard also by photographers that actually went down into the yard. You should try to remember where the camera source is as you see these various segments. I believe we are ready. (Film being run). I would emphasize that the MR. LIMAN: first potion of the presentation was the actual video tape of the State Police as presented to us, that the second portion was the state video tape spliced to include still pictures and Super 8 pictures also taken by the State Police, so that it would be more intelligible. The job of making that second presentation really fell to three members of our staff. Mark Luxemburg who did some of the narration, Bob Sackett and Robert Potts. EXAMINATION BY MR. LIMAN: Colonel, you have seen the video tape and these 0 films before, not in this form but you have seen the

original video tape, is that correct?

That's right.

Α

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q In fact, it is the subject 2098 and has been the subject of study at the Police Academy, is that correct, analysis and --It's been viewed a number of times, yes. Α And it's viewed in order to learn things from Q it? Well, I would say --Α To derive lessons from it? Q Not necessarily lessons. You view something А to possibly learn something from it. As to whether it's a lesson or not, that becomes a question as to whether the learning means that you are going to have to give instructions or whether it's something that you see that you have done and it doesn't necessarily mean it's going to improve things or it's going to be changed. One thing that I think strikes almost everybody Q is that on the original video tape, more than four minutes elapsed during which you hear gun fire before you hear

Can you account for why it should have taken some time to give that surrender warning?

A That is the helicopter, the voice from the helicopter?

Q Yes.

the surrender warning.

A Yes, I think that what happened is the National

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Guard helicopter came up. It flew over, 2099 discharged its gas. It then came over again on a second pass. The second National Guard helicopter also then came up and they were dropping some tear gas canisters and our own helicopter that was airborn has to stay outside the perimeter of their flight for safety reasons so you don't have a collision between helicopters.

So that this is probably why they didn't get in there with their voice recording--rather there was a trooper up there over the PA system for the advice to the inmates to surrender.

Q In the interim the shooting took placel Was any consideration given to giving a warning before the National Guard helicopter dropped gas and before any shooting took place?

A Well, there was no real PA system that you could get this word out to the inmates. You have to consider an element of surprise. We're concerned with the safety of the hostages and there rescue and as you mentioned before, or brought out the question as to insuring safety as to whether you go in or whether you stay out.

Certainly with an element of surprise and a fast movement, you do do something to try to insure the safety and this is what our planning was.

Q Colonel, with all of the efforts at surprise,

it would take several minutes at least, 2100
am I correct, to get through the barricades and to get
to the hostage circle?

A It did. I believe that it was a matter of three to four minutes before the first rescue man was down in the yard.

Q And that was about as fast as you could expect, given the barricade and given the distance that had to be traversed.

A Well, I really can't tell you. It's only a matter of, as you say, it's 150 yards or less to get to where you're putting your ladders down but you do have obstacles that you have to remove.

You have resistance that has been testified to here that had to be overcome and there naturally was a time element in here that you couldn't say that you would be there in 30 seconds, you would be there in three or four minutes or ten minutes.

Q So there couldn't really be as a practical matter very much surprise in this situation?

A Well, surprise in the idea that we did move in. Now, I think there was a question, probably in the inmates' minds as to whether we were going to move or whether we were not and I think until we actually did move and then with the gas coming down, there was discharge firearms immediately, that this 2101 certainly is an element of surprise and probably an unexpected factor so far as the inmates were concerned, which might do much to reduce the possibility that they are going to take immediate retaliation against hostages.

Q Did anybody suggest that perhaps if the inmates knew that you were coming in with force, that they might have capitulated, the very surprise you are talking about may have been counter-productive?

A Well, I don't know what was said by any of the negotiating teams, both the inmate negotiators or the correction officials that went in there as to what would happen if they didn't agree to release the hostages.

I feel certain that the realization was there that the State Police were present. They knew that there was other law enforcement people there. The guard was not present but they certainly knew about law enforcement.

A large group of them--this is being carried into the yard by radio, by television which they had. So that certainly some of the views that they had must have given them an idea that the possibility was that State Police were gonng to come in.

Q What did you expect would cause inmates who had threatened to kill hostages if you went in to use

25

7 ¹

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

self-restraint and not kill the

2102 2 hostages? 3 I don't--Α 4 Am I clear? 0 5 Α I didn't quite get you. 6 Q You knew that inmates had threatened to kill 7 the hostages and you knew you couldn't reach them in 8 time if they were bent on doing that. 9 What in this plan did you expect would induce 10 to use self-restraint and not kill the hostages if 11 that's what they were bent on doing? 12 Well, this is probably a question of metal Α 13 process on the part of the inmates as to what decision 14 they make when you might say the chips are down. 15 Now they either got to do it or else they 16 don't. 17 What was going to communicate to them that Q 18 the chips were down before the gun fire started? 19 Α I think the fact that the helicopter came over 20 and dropped the gas and immediately we moved in, certainly 21 this should have communicated to any one of them that 22 the police action had started. But when you listened to that film it seems 23 Q as if once the gas drops, gun fire starts and continues 24 for a period of I think we timed it at four minutes. 25

A Well, on the matter of the 2103 length of the gun fire, it's questionalbe in my mind. I have heard it a number of times. After everything is over, you could still hear occasional sounds which could be the electronic problems or could be the helicopter that is making this noise.

All the noise you hear that sounds like gun fire may not be gun fire, I can't say.

Q We know that over 400 rounds were fired by State Police personnel, park personnel and correctional officers so that there would be 400 reports of various forms of gun, shotguns or rifles.

A That' right, but 400 rounds can be expended in a matter of 30 seconds if you want to fire that fast. When you have a number of people that are involvedhere. It's not a couple of dozen people involved.

You have 185 State Police that moved in and the total State Police force, 185 that did move in to retake the prison. Although we had a larger number there, this is all that was in there. Plus you do have a number of correction officers who fired so that if you wanted to break it down, you could say if each one fired two shots, bank bank, in five seconds you get 400 shots.

Q They were all under the same instructions, not to fire unless some overt hostile act is taken against

25

9

1

2

hostages or against them.

A That's right.

Q And yet you had all of this shooting. Let me ask you, did you give any consideration--I am not asking these as a personal matter for you because I realize that the major was there, you were there, there were other people in the State Police organization who were involved in this planning.

But was consideration given by the State Police or by the correction department or by the governor's staff to the possibility of moving in there without gun and with just batons?

A Asfar as the State Police are concerned, the answer is no.

Q As far as the others are concerned, did you ever get a request from the governor or his office or from the correction department to try to move in there without guns?

A No, we didn't.

Q Did anybody ask you whether or not that was feisable?

A No, I don't believe that question was ever posed.

Q If it had been posed, sir, what would you have said?

10 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would have said, no.

Q Why is that?

Α

A Well, you have to be there to actually see what was developing and I think what did develop is the best example of what could have happened if we had gone in with sticks.

There was over 1,500 weapons that had been fashioned by inmates, some that were very very deadly. I saw a large number of them and I'm certain that if we went in there with sticks and got into a hand-to-hand combat situation, the loss of life and injury would have been much greater.

There is no question in my mind that the inmates had planned or had planned if we came in this way and I think their thinking was that we were coming in this way, that they intended to over power the State Police, whoever they could, that they would then try to take their weapons away from them and then they would have used them for their advantage.

Now, in a large crowd of 1,280 odd people that was in there, you have a cloak of--well, just so-it's not a cloak, it's--who can you say did which. Inmates could take positive action and it would be very hard to identify the fact that they did and I'm certain that if we had gone in hoping that they would lay down,

we wouldn't have found it to be so.

2106

Q The same question that you posed of who can say who did which can be posed in reverse to the police in a situation like this, am I correct?

A That's very correct.

Q With gas masks, without guns which are traceable, that same question can be posed.

A Mr. Liman, I think that our follow-up on this incident shows that we're not trying to conceal anything. Immediately following the same day, we had 50 investigators brought in, BCI people for the purpose of a full investigation of our own actions.

We knew there was a large number of deaths. These were all homicides. We do not take them lightly. We investigated the actions of our own people. We went out and took statements of our own people. We had photographs. There was no concealment. No effort to conceal the actions of our people.

Q I want to make it plain that you also cooperated with us and turned over the very material that we have been looking at and other material and you came and spoke to the Commission in private as well as a number of other members of the force and I did not mean to suggest that--

Α

The inference was there in the last remark you

12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

made and I know you didn't intend 2107 it as an inference against the State Police but I am just stating my position that I don't feel the State Police have anything to apologize for.

We feel that we had a job to do, that we did it. The confrontation was forced upon us by the inmates who all were in there for crimes that they had committed against society.

Many of them heinous, over 18 percent homicides. We are not dealing with a campus disorder or a lot of students, young people or people who were trying to express themselves with a type of disorder where you do go in without the deadly force to take care of the situation.

This was entirely a situation--this was an unparalleled situation in the history of the United States.

Q Do you feel, Colonel, that if the people had not been in there for crimes, that this was not a prison yard, a maximum security prison, that your men would have used different individual judgment in whether to fire?

A We wouldn't have gone in with the gun power that we had. There would be no need for it. We have found and I don't think that any other police agency has

found that in the ordinary type of disorder, whatever it might be, that you get the threat against your own safety. You will have -- maybe you will have some sticks, you may have some stones. You will have a lot of verbal abuse but you don't have people building deadly weapons to use against you.

I said you made available material to us 0 but I want to ask another question because we have looked at it and the public has looked at it and now you have looked at it here with us.

And are you saying that you feel, having studied all of this material that you would not have done this differently if you had it to do over again?

Α So far as the State Police action?

0

Yes, sir.

We could have exercised--you could exercise Α better control of the actions of others but as far as the State Police action I feel that we did what we had to do to restore control of the prison and to try to rescue as many hostages as we could with the least loss of life.

You also are aware that the National Q Guard prohibits use of Double-O shot in its riot control shotguns and requires the use of number seven and a half shot.

Are you saying that if you 2109 had it to do over again you would still equip your men with Double-O shot as the ammunition?

A Yes, sir, I would.

Q And you would do that even though you have seen the spread of these guns and the distances that the ammunition would have to travel?

A We had a situation there where a number of deaths and injuries ensued in the yard where Lieutenant Christian was shot. There is no question in my mind that some of the deaths of the hostages and those of the inmates resulted from our members firing at Lt. Christian's assailant hoping that they would save his life.

Now, you have a situation here where a number of people see what's happening and each one, thinking that he himself is going to be the one to safe the Lieutenant's life, fires. We know and I think you know from the statements you have that five of our members did fire trying to strike Lt. Christian's assailant.

Unfortunately, there were people that were in the line of fire. But you only have a split moment to make a decision as to what you are going to do.

Q But you said in the line of fire. If you equip your men with that type of ammunition and if they are shooting at that distance, even if there aim is perfect, aren't they going to hit other people?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

16

A It's very possible that they would and in this case that they did but this was a situation where the lieutenant went down that might never happen again.

A shotgun is not a long range weapon. Normally it is not used for long range purposes.

Q What guns other than the rifles which the men had on the roofs were your people equipped with to provide long range protection from those catwalks to those hostages?

Well, they had their issue revolvers.

Q That's just a hand gun and that's not a long range weapon either, is it? It isn't intended for that--

A No, you also have to remember that our men were wearing gas masks, for their own protection against gas. Certainly in the wearing of any gas mask, you will have an added problem insofar as your marksmanship is concerned.

You going to run into this regardless of what type of weapons that you are going to use.

Q Is it fair to say, colonel, that given the location of those hostages and given the limitations of these weapons which the State Police has, that if 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

there was any act of assault toward 2111 those hostages, it was virtually inevitable that other people would be hit by bullets or pellets?

You lose me just a bit on that question.

Well, given the ammunition which you had, Α given the distance that was involved here, given the fact that your men had the choice of only revolvers or shotguns, wasn't it really inevitable that if any inmate attempted to assail a State Police officer or a hostage in the yard, that there would be many casualties?

Α Well, it's a question where--where the trooper might be as to where the assailant was, the distance involved, as to whether there would or would not be. At 20 and 30 yards you could put the entire nine pellets into the body of an assailant.

Now, you can take lighter shot, you can take seven and a half shot, beebee shot, whatever you want, and you will find this has a much greater spread at distances of 20 to 30 yards, it can be lethal.

One of those pellets can strike you and kill you. But now, instead of having nine pellets, you have possibly anywhere from two to 300 pellets, anyone striking you at a certain distance is going to kill you just as much as a rifle or a revolver.

Are you suggesting that the seven and a half Q

shot, the small pellets have the letal 2112 capability, the same lethal capability of Double-0 shot?

A According to the distance and the place where you are hit, yes. No question about it.

Q From 30 yards or 40 yards or 50 yards, are you saying that a person hit with a Double-O will not be in worse shape than a person hit with a beebee pellet from the other kind of shot?

A Now you are getting into distance 30 to 50 yards. I am not a ballistics man and I can't tell you but I do know that a seven and a half or a number six shot will reach out and you will kill a bird with it. You will kill a rabbit.

Q Can you kill a man with it?

A You possibly can kill a man with it, yes.

Q As possible as with the larger ammunition?

A Maybe not as possible but you got to remember, you only got nine pellets involved here whereas against you might have 200, whatever it may be in a number six, number seven shot.

Q Do you know why the National Guard doesn't permit the use of Double-0 shot?

A I do not. I didn't know that they had shotguns with seven and a half shot. The National Guardsmen at Attica had rifles. They may have had shotguns;

18¹

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I didn't see them.

Q If you had to do it over again, would you be using .270's with bullets that were not fully jacketed?

A We use the bullets that are available and that is not a full jacketed bullet.

Q

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Availability isn't the question I asked.

A Would I use it? Yes. As a police weapon, the bullet we use in it is a most effective police weapon. When a policeman draws his gun, he draws it in the line of duty and he then has the responsibility as to the discharge of the weapon, both that he may have to answer civilly or criminally if he is careless in what he is doing or if he is wrong in what he is doing, but if you draw your weapon to fire and our rules and regulations are very emphatic, our training is, you are responsible for your actions.

Now, when you shoot your weapon, you shoot it for a purpose, to defend yourself or another, to possibly effect arrest when all other reasonable means have failed, at which time you may use deadly force.

You certainly can always use deadly force when physical force is being used against you or used against another and this is one way to effect the restraint that you have to to stop the action of someone else and this is why police do use revolvers, .38 revolvers. 21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what our job was. That's to protect

life.

Q Ten hostages died of State Police or correction officer bullets.

A You are talking on the catwalk?

Q I am talking on the catwalks and the yard. That's the--

A The total hostages.

A The total hostages who died and who were not being picked out by State Police officers or correction officer, those were just shots that were eith stray or misaimed or for some other reason.

Given that toll, I would like you to bear that in mind. You were not asked, you said, for your opinion as to whether you should go in, whether a police action should be undertaken and so I understand that nobody asked you that at the time.

I would like your professional opinion on whether in all of the circumstances, including the character of the inmates as you understood that character and you expressed it here, including the threats that were made to the hostages that they would be killed if the police came in, including the various reports that up to then the hostages had not been harmed in the yard while you were staying out, do you think that the

221

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probability was greater that harm would befall to those hostages either from the knives of inmates or from the bullets of police if you went in cn Monday or if you waited several more days?

Α I don't feel that I can give an opinion because I don't think anyone can give an opinion. This is a matter of conjecture. I don't think it's a fair question as to what might have happened if you didn't do something.

Q What compelled this decision to go in on Monday? And not wait, given the threats that were made that the hostages would be killed if you went in?

А Well, it wasn't a compelling decision on our part. We were there from the first day to restore control of the prison when we were asked to do so.

We were asked to take the police action to restore control on Monday. We took it.

> MR. LIMAN: Thank you.

MR. McKAY: Colonel Miller, I think several members of the Commission have questions. I would like to start with Mr. Rothschild on my left.

EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTHSCHILD:

Col. Miller, I would like to pursue in somewhat 0 of a different fashion something that--as I understand

it, and I think you said it quite 2117 clearly the State Police's job in this was a instrumentality to restore control of the prison. That was their assignment and that's what they did.

 23^{1}

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that the ballistic aspects of it get kind of overwhelming a little bit. I think it's a complicated field. The thing that interests me is not that nearly so much as the fact that the assignment of restoring order to a penitentiary was probable not something that the State Police has in their order of likely assignments.

I don't know if it's ever happened before. It's certainly not a standard equipment job. In the light of that question I would like to ask,--in the light of what took place in Attica, do you think that the State Police is reconsidering or should reconsider or should look at the whole project of this particular combat assignment?

We found in the army we ran into certain kinds of resistance that we weren'ttrained to deal with and we had again to change tecniques because jungle fighting was different from open field fighting and what have you. Weaponry was different. The whole approach was different. It would seem to me that, from many of the things you said that perhaps the basic assignment of this kind of think is very different from that of riot control to which Mr. Liman compared it with the National Guard.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's a different kind of participant. It's a different kind of environment. It's a different set of circumstances. My question is has the State Police any plans, or are they pursuing any plans to study the experience of Attica in the light of the fact this really is a different kind of assignment than normally State Policemen and the State Police has been asked to exercise and we do have the right tolls and techniques and whether there is a way for many of the reaons that said that made this difficult?

Well, certainly we're interested if we could Α restore control to do it the most effective way, the most human way. We have been in prison riot situations in the past years. I can think probably of five or six.

At Auburn last year we were there but the prisoners capitulated on the -- I won't say it was a threat but on the instructions of the warden or the deputy warden, the deputy commissioner that the State Police would move in.

We were faced with the same situation. Thev did capitulate which, as I understand at Attica, they felt they weren't going to capitulate because they

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gained nothing, as they said, from Auburn.

Back in '29 and '30 there were pitched battles the police and inmates at the Auburn prison riots where a number of inmates were killed, where a number of hostages had been killed by the inmates.

2119

We had a situation in 1955 in Comstock Prison where there was a large number of inmates that had taken over the prison and in this case we went in and restored control. There were no hostages.

The use of non-letal weapons, which has been a question, not only in this situation but any situation police are faced with is one that there has been a lot of research done on it. There has been a lot of money, federal monies granted for the purchase and development of so-called non-lethal weapons but the results from our observations and from our own research do not show any positive conclusion that you have such a nonlethal weapon to be effective in a circumstance like, for instance, at Attica.

There has been a lot of talk about rubber bullets. Over in Island they have been using them and it hasn't done a thing apparently except get the Irishmen a little madder. It has not been effective.

They have so-called stun bag, which is like a large bean bag which is discharged from a weapon similar to a gas gun which when somebody 2120
gets hit with it, it will inflict quite a bruise.
It might slow him down but it doesn't disable him.
You can't get into a gas--there has been talk about
using a sleeping gas or a happy gas.
These things are fine but they are not practical.
Situations that the police are faced with are not
situations that you see on television on some of these
shows. It's very easy to write a script and show how
you resolve a situation with a hypothetical weapon that's
so effective.

This is not true. Certainly we are concerned and we do research any new tool that's available that we can supply our people with.

It becomes a question sometimes as to buying the equipment that you are never going to use. This, again, is a budgetary thing and the question of the budget could be resolved but we may never have another Attica.

Q

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

My question was less Buck Rogersy in a sense.

2121

For instance, if in fact you have the obligation to restore order, it would seem to me that from what we have heard about Attica there is a reasonable presumption that maybe the enforcers, which is what you are, have to tell the Commissioner of Correction that maybe hostage taking has to be reduced in the first three hours, if you're going to give the maximum opportunity to save the hostages.

It is that kind of review I am thinking of, more than just special purpose kind of weapons.

A I agree with you--

Q I am talking strategically rather than tactically, I think.

Q This may be but yet, correction officers in making surveys where there have been hostages will come out and give you a figure that in 95 per cent of the times when you negotiate, you are successful.

Now, when you have 38 people involved here and these are your own people, I wouldn't want to be the Commissioner to say, go now or shall I negotiate.

This is quite a decision to make. He made his decision and he did try to negotiate for five days. He went far beyond what most people would consider as reasonable in the demands that were made of him that he acceded to. And yet, this apparently did not solve 2122 the inmate's resolution to still hold out for further demands.

So it's quite a question to try to resolve as to when you are going to go in. We as a police organization, feel that we are prepared to do the police job and do it effectively and do it well under any circumstances, but when another person has to make the decision when, in this case with the prison system, this is the correction commissioner's decision, then we wait on his decision.

MR. ROTHFELD: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: This is State policy.

MR. MC KAY: Mr. Wilbanks.

EXAMINATION BY MR. WILBANKS:

Q Colonel Miller, you were here this morning and heard some of the depositions that were read by some of the troopers that fired their guns at Attica.

I am sure you are aware of the law in regard to when a trooper is allowed to fire and you are also aware of the instructions that were given by Major Monahan, they were to fire only if there was an overt hostile act and so forth.

Warning shots were not to be made and there was to be no hand to hand combat and so forth. I don't

1

want to read but one, just as an example. I want 2123 to ask your 'comments on it. This is in regard to the trooper who was coming out one of the catwalks and was asked about his firing. He said he "advanced a few steps closer to the barricade", I am quoting him now. "I believe I stated there were two other subjects standing at the barricade. There were three subjects all together that I observed. I didn't observe these other two subjects outside of the ones that I fired at. I believe they all ducked down behind the barricade and I fired three rounds into the barricade.

"Question: Can you tell me why you did that? "Answer: Well, I observed three other subjects, one subject with a weapon, the other subject I couldn't see his hand. He was down behind the barricade so to speak. And I couldn't ascertain whether he had a weapon or not.

"Question: Were you firing to make sure they would not come up and assault the troopers?

"Answer: Well, I had to go down the side of that barricade."

In other words, it seems to me, perhaps I am mistaken in my impression, that he was firing even though persons were down behind the barricade, he couldn't see them, he didn't know if they had a weapon in their hand and he fired because he knew he would have to go 2124 around the barricade.

From hearing the deposition, do you believe that action was proper?

A Well, a deposition is one thing. What actually happened and being there was something else again. Under the law he has a right, if it is in his defense and he has reason to believe--he reasonably believes that he has to take this action to defend himself, he is faced with physical force, deadly physical force and I think he has a reasonable assumption that these people were armed there and could take action against him that could kill him, so under these circumstances I would say legally he would be justified in shooting.

Now, whether it was necessary or not, I don't know. I would say legally he was certainly justified.

Q Beyond the written deposition I am sure you have statements of your own from the State Police, as to why these individuals fired shots.

Did you discuss with individual officers, discuss further with them as to why they fired shots? This would certainly raise a question in the minds of some people so the supervisory personnel come to this individual and have him explain further as to why he fired in the situation? Would this be discussed?

A Well, at this particular point, or at 2125 that point in the investigation, we now are faced with the fact that we have a grand jury investigation so we feel that these are proper questions for the grand jury to consider rather than ourselves.

Judge Fisher has been named as the prosecutor. He has taken over the investigation. He has, in turn, taken other depositions from people and whether he took further depositions from this particular man, I can't say. These facts will be presented to the grand jury and for us then to do an administrative internal type investigation, I don't think that we would be in the best position to try to do this.

Q You are leaving that up to the grand jury?
A That's right.

Just so you are aware so fare as the State Police are concerned, we investigate each and every incident where we have a complaint against personnel, whether it be an alleged verbal abuse, whether it is physical abuse or if there is a discharge of firearm in the course of their duties, we make full investigations.

There is written reports. There is administrative action taken if we feel the man was doing his job incorrectly. If it is a matter where there is a death or a serious injury, we go to the District Attorney

and we request that these matters be presented 2126 to the grand jury, both to protect our man as well as to lay aside any doubt that we are trying to cover up some wrongful actions on our people's part.

Q The second question is in a similar vein. I am sure you are aware that regardless of what you consider to be the merits, that there are many persons who believe that the police action in many individual cases was not justified.

You are aware a lot of people are skeptical, regardless whether that is correct or not?

A There is no question about this. The facts of Attica so far as the police side is concerned have never been brought out until this hearing and we were not in a position to try to rebut anything that was said that was written, that was broadcast because of the fact there is a criminal investigation going on and we are not in a position to try to comment.

Q Yes, I understand. Let me go ahead. That was a prelude to my question.

We all recognize that some people disbelieve that the action was proper.

Regardless of what you might believe about that, let's suppose that what some person might believe is the following: Suppose someone said that actually I 2127 believe that, speaking for someone who might be in the audience watching, suppose that they believed that a particular trooper was overly tense, that he was inexperienced as to when he was to shoot, that perhaps he even wanted to pick off someone, let's suppose someone believed that.

Since they did believe that, suppose if in a situation like that, given their view that this trooper that they believed was characterized by the things that I have mentioned, suppose he shot an inmate who was simply standing or sitting or whatever, who was not resisting. Then realizing this was not proper or lawful after that, let's suppose that he said, well, the inmate was running and he had a weapon in his hand.

In other words, he was resisting. Let's suppose that he changed his story. If someone believed that, could you tell persons this afternoon that this type of falsifying--what checks do you have over some type of thing like this occurring; do you get my point?

A I know what you are talking about. The man can make up a story to protect his own interest.

Q I am saying in a sense, what do you have to depend on other than the individual trooper's word that the situation he saw was as he described it? A Well, your investigation could disclose 2128 a number of things. You're talking hypothetical questions rather than say Attica but let's have Attica out of it.

This could happen in any situation where you could have the same question arise and we then would do our best to fully investigate to find out if the trooper is right or if we have some citizen that said the trooper was wrong and we try to definitely determine what actually did happen.

If we found that a crime had been committed by a trooper, we are not going to try to cover it up. This then becomes a matter for the grand jury and the District Attorney investigate further.

So that if we are talking Attica, then we would have to go into specifics. If you are talking a hypothetical situation, that's the answer I'm giving you.

We are concerned, we do investigate and we don't whitewash what has happened so far as our own people are concerned but we do not also believe every allegation that's made against our people but we do investigate every one.

MR. MC KAY: Mr. Carter.

EXAMINATION BY MR. CARTER:

1 2129 Q Colonel Miller, I must confess to more 2 than ordinary shock to learn that our State Troopers 3 use ammunition which our country has banded under 4 the Geneva Convention, as being the type of ammunition 5 which should not be utilized in war. I am always 6 somewhat shocked to find that in the .270's that your 7 indication that apparently that you would use that 8 type of weapon again. 9 I would like your comment on that. 10 Q Well, you say the State Police--your New York 11 State Police. 12 Q Yes. 13 Α Why don't you say every police department --14 It doesn't make any difference. Q 15 No, you are shocked that police will use this А 16 type of weapon. 17 I am more than shocked that New York State Q 18 Police because I am from New York. I think I ought 19 to--that my State ought to have some standards a little 20 bit better than ordinary policemen--ordinary States. 21 Α I can't go into the background as far as the 22 military is concerned, and the Geneva Convention, where 23 they did come out with this decision, so all nations 24 are living within the convention will go this way. 25 On the grounds that the weapons they were not Q

utilizing as I understand it, they were not using 2130 weapons that would cause undue human suffering and therefore, the kind of ammunition which you have utilized is one that has been band on that ground.

A You also have some advantages from using the weapon, the police type weapon and I don't have a sufficient background probably to comment on that but I'm certain that police departments throughout the country and probably throughout the world do use these type weapons for police purpose, that there is a good reason why they are using them and their comments would probably be just as strong in favor of their use as against the military type weapon.

The military type bullet fired from, say a .3006 rifle, which is a military weapon, would probably go through three people here in this room if they were one behind the other, where a .270 will hit someone, it will stop.

It will not penetrate. It does not have the penetrating power, whereby it could go through several people and kill three people where the other bullet will only kill the one.

That is one point I think that the police feel that this is one reason they want to use it.

In war if you can go through ten people, you

are much further ahead.

41

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I suppose we can't pursue that further. Q

You had been talking, I think, Colonel, and indicated that the -- going into Attica. You emphasized the fact that people involved were deviants who had-were guilty of societal crimes, some of them very huge crimes.

But there were men at Attica who were not guilty of any heinous crimes, isn't that so? All the people in Attica weren't psychopaths, were they? All the inmates in there were not those that were charged with murder and homicide--

Mr. Carter, I didn't say to begin with, they Α were deviants. I said they were charged with crimes serious enough to lodge them in the State Prison.

From my experience, I think it is borne out probably through statistics, most first offenders do not get sentenced to State Prisons.

Normally they are given some chance of rehabilitation, maybe it's a lesser sentence, a reduced charge--

> 0 Colonel, I understand that.

I'm not saying that all people there are А the worse type of people in society.

> There was another element there too, isn't Q

because otherwise they would not be in a state 2133 prison.

And I don't say that every felony is the most serious type of felony but it is a serious enough type of crime where they can be imprisoned for a number of years and the imprisonment is in a State Prison, not in a county jail.

Q I suppose--and I will conclude this. The reason I asked the question was that you had indicated that maybe the police would not have used this kind of ammunition had they been confronted with a different situation. You mentioned the campus riots. And yet at the time I gather that they were called upon to Attica, they used the ammunition which was available.

Does the police, if they are called in a particular situation, and they have to use fire power, are they going to make a decision as to what kind of fire power they use based on the situation involved, what kind of weapons they are going to use?

A Yes, but I can't quite see the comparison you are trying to draw between the situation at Attica and a campus disturbance. This is two different situations. You may go prepared to the scene of any situation, you may bring in equipment, emergency type equipment including extra fire power and so on.

It doesn't necessarily mean you are going to use 2134 it. You have it available to meet the needs of a situation.

Q Let's take a race riot, which is the situation in which you may have to use fire power where a race riot occurs.

A Maybe the best example, I can tell you right now, is the Rochester where we were involved in a race riot situation as you remember back in 1962.

It was one of the first ones I think in the country. The State Police went in there and there was no one killed there by the State Police.

There was no fire arms used to any extent that I know of. I wasn't there personally. But I did go out later on as an observer and I have talked to people who have been there. We were not faced with a situation where people were trying to attempt to use deadly force against us. There was some force used against us and there was some force that I would say could be considered as deadly but we didn't know who these people were. We didn't consider them as criminals. They were people. Certainly I want you to understand my feelings and the feelings of the State Police, that we are not concerned with a person's color in taking police action against him. We are concerned with the offense that 15 he has committed.

Q Were you aware that the members, on the part of the police force that went into the prison had friends in and around Batavia or from that area?

A No, I heard on a number of depositions that were read here today that apparently a couple of the members did have some friends that did work at the institution.

Certainly I do know that one of our men, I believe, had his brother in there, if I am not mistaken.

Those men going in, and I didn't know about the fellow that knew--the people that knew a correction officer at Attica. If anything, this would be a deterring effect, I think, not only on themselves, but on others of the State Police detail. If we are going in as a group and I say my brother is in there, for God's sake don't shoot because you might kill him, I am going to consider this. So that we don't go in there with any idea that we're going to try to shoot anybody we saw who might be running or just to shoot someone. I mean, if this is the point you are trying to say--

Q I am not--

A The fact that they knew people there, I don't quite get your point, Mr. Carter.

Q The point I am trying to make is a point that

24

25

1

2

3

4

I thought you made and that was that the--one of 2136 the reasons that you have personally advised against correction officers being allowed to go in as a part of the assault team was by virtue of the fact that theremight be reprisals. It seems to me that--

I see what you mean.

Α

Q

Q It seems to me that the same consideration would be involved in the men who had friends there or a brother who was in there and what I don't understand is if you were that concerned about the correction officer, why a check wasn't made of your own force to make sure that at least--the kind of objectivity you felt would be necessary for correction officers.

That was my question.

A I understand what you are saying now. I did not know of any relationship or any close friendship that any of our people did have with any of the correction officers. I do feel that our people are better trained, better disciplined and that they could be objective in doing their job.

We find this, not in a situation like Attica but in a situation where a man lives in a town and he has to arrest his neighbor.

Q I will conclude. The thing that's troubling to me was the kind of thing that Mr. Wilbanks was pur¹17 suing. And I think it is troubling to at least 2 some parts of the public.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As I understand it, an action such as this, there is no real way that there is any accountability for a person being trigger happy or his taking unnecessary action, for his making bad judgments. It is all his individual--he is acting individually. Obviously, his own life is on the line at the time. And he is acting individually. It just seems to me there is no way that there is any accountability for his action to any high authority. I find this, personally, I find this very troublesome.

21

A Well, it would not hold alone true in a situation like Attica. It holds alone in a man's performance every day. His accountability. His accountability to his superiors to do the job. Through training and continued in-service training, through trying to give proper instruction and guidance, you hope that you can make a man do the job right and if he makes a mistake, that he is accountable for it and will admit his accountability. A situation like this, which is probably one of the most serious that most police officers could ever get involved in, might be something else again. But your only hope that the training that you have given, the supervision that you are trying to provide for them, 1 18 2138 that they will do the job that they are supposed 2 to do.

Probably the military is the greatest example where this can happen.

MR. MC KAY: Mrs. Wadsworth. EXAMINATION BY MRS. WADSWORTH:

Colonel Miller, you said you were not a 0 ballistics expert. And you have also said that you would go in again with a Double-0. In the department who's ultimate responsibility is this kind of decision, where does the buck stop as far as the decision like that is concerned?

Q

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

You mean in a change in equipment, for instance? Α Yes.

Well, this probably would be the superinten-А dent's decision if he wanted to make a policy decision that we will no longer have shotguns, that we will no longer have rifles, whatever change it might be.

Now, normally, in the State Police, the superintendent does not exercise his autonomy in this There is an Executive Committee. It is the 12 wav. top personnel at division headquarters where these matters are discussed at great length. They are researched where research is necessary and a recommendation is made to the superintendent and he, in turn,

24

under the power he has as superintendent, then can 2139 make a policy change.

He generally would follow the recommendations of the Executive Committee, although he is not necessarily, doesn't necessarily have to do so.

Q I think in most situations things kind of go along until something makes you initiate some kind of attention to a problem. Is there periodic/review of this issue? Would this come up automatically in any way or does it need some kind of crisis to get a review?

A No, it doesn't need a crisis. It could come through in the form of say a suggestion that could be initiated by a trooper which then does come up through channels and they all pass over my desk and in turn, I send them out for further investigation.

If they appear really worthwhile they are brought into the Executive Committee for discussion. If we feel that it is worthwhile to make a change, we will make a recommendation to the superintendent.

If we feel it isn't worthwhile, he will be advised of the Committee's discussion on it but then the Committee will make a recommendation we don't feel it is worthwhile.

Q Has this issue surfaced in the last two or

¹19

2

three years?

A You mean inso far as the use of fire arms? No, it hasn't.

Q My other question goes back to the plan for the assault. The phrase we hear is overt hostile act. That seems to be the best direction as far as the troopers were given as to what they were to look for and that was the key. I assume that there was no particular list of assignments or any particular targets that were being sought out in the assault.

I am wondering after you planned that the State Troopers alone would go in, that correction officers were added for identification purpose. Who were they going to identify?

A Well, the correction officers for identification purposes would be to identify correction officers who were being held as hostages. They all had-they had all had their correction clothing taken away from them. They were dressed in prison clothing. We were not familiar with who they were but the correction officers would know them and you might have a situation where you needed a face identification and this is where you wanted a correction officer to say yes, this is Joe Doe, he is a correction officer.

Q I guess from seeing the film and sort of a .

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

realistic feeling of timing, it seems a little 2141 complicated. To stop and say that's the one. It seems to me it was all very fast and difficult to see clearly in the yard what was going on so I wondered how real was the role of identification which brought correctional officers with arms into the picture.

A There was only two correctional officers went in with the rescue team for that identification purpose. This is just, you might say, is an added safeguard. Possibly without them there wouldn't have been any difficulty one way or the other. There is always a possibility that you can use someone in this capacity for identification because you are not--you do not know just what the situation is that you are going to meet.

And it could be that an inmate holding a hostage would try to reverse the roles so he escapes and the hostage would get shot.

MRS. WADSWORTH: Thank you.

MR. MC KAY: Bishop Broderick. EXAMINATION BY MR. BRODERICK:

Q Colonel, would you be willing to share with us your opinion of whether, from your experience, whether this was a well-planned takeover by the inmates? Or is it something that just happened to happen through

1/21

a series of incidents?

 $\frac{1}{22}$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I personally believe and I have really nothing to support this, that there was some planning behind the inmate takeover.

MR. BRODERICK: Thank you.

MR. MC KAY: Mrs. Guerriero. EXAMINATION BY MRS. GUERRIERO:

Q Colonel Miller, you said that many things are talked about that should be useful in straightening out a situation of this sort without killing anyone and you mentioned gas was one of the things.

Gas is supposed to do this, that or the other. But they aren't effective, neither are the bullets that don't kill and so forth. If that is the case, why was gas used at this time? Because everybody was very much alive. Nobody was out of commission as it were?

A That's true but gas is a non-lethal weapon that normally--

Q Was this supposed to be--some people have said that gas used was a kind of gas that would make the inmates sort of dead in their ways.

A We had also heard that this was supposedly a much more effective type of gas and we don't use it ourselves, at least we didn't have it. That a man

1 23 getting a doze of this gas no matter how tough he 2143
2 was, for the next ten minutes after he got a doze of
3 gas, you could take him by the lapel and lead him
4 along like a puppy dog without absolutely any resis5 tance.

Gas, according to how it is used and where it is used, can be most effective or it can be less effective.

The use of gas, say in a contained area. For instance if we had a gas grenade in this room here, you could find everybody in here would be affected. But you take the same group and spread them out in the street where there is some wind and you have the same circumstances, only a few would be effected. So that certainly the use of gas is something that you would use. The effectiveness depends on many conditions. Climatic conditions, wind flow and also the actual exposure a person does have to the gas.

Q But over there it didn't effect anyone as near as we can see in the movie, everybody was running to go down on their bellies or whatever it is and to be safe, I mean not to be shot.

A Well, I agree with you but however even if it didn't have a physical effect, p\$ychologically it could have had a good effect where it overcame some resistance.

0 Do you think that without the gas it 2144 would have been more disastrous than with the gas? Don't you think that the gas kept everybody--you didn't know who was who? А No, honestly, I don't. Q Since the idea was to--of course the main idea was to save the hostages. Yes, but I think that the use of gas, even Α if it only had a very limited effect, it did give the element of surprise, it did give the element of timing where we then could move in. Without it, there could have been much more resistance. I have another question. Since everything 0 done at this time was, of course, in order to save lives. I mean everything that was done to save all the lives, even of course, the -- mainly the hostages who were the victims at this point. Why then wasn't the voice or a tape given to the same people who dropped the gas? So that they would immediately know when the gas came and the voice at the same time, put your hands behind your head and you won't be hurt. А That is a good question and I'm not sure whether they were equipped with a public address system or not. Our helicopter has a public address system.

It is equipped with it so that the voice emanates from

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

below the helicopter and then the blades, the pressure 2145 of the air will force the sound down.

If you don't have a public address system, then you can't use it, either in a plane or helicopter.

Q I imagine that's what happened except that all these things should have been thought of so that those people wouldn't have been killed, so many people killed because if they--if these people were told that they weren't going to be hurt, they probably would have done what they did later on.

The same circumstances, gas and voice at the same time. As it was, as we said before, it was four, five minutes before the voice kept saying, don't, you know, give yourself up and nobody will be hurt.

A Well, it is possible it may have helped. I can't say that. But they did not have the equipment on the helicopter, the National Guard helicopter, so therefore we could not use it. You are in a too confined area to put up two helicopters at the same time because when you are flying around with a helicopter, you have to have a little air space and that they wouldn't have had within the confines trying to do the job they were trying to do with the gas.

Q This is my last question. I don't know, perhaps I wasn't here when Lieutenant Christian was

25

2

3

25

1 ₂	discussed by anyone but I have been listening from 2146
2	the very beginning of our investigation that the
3	inmates did not have any guns.
4	How was Lieutenant Christian shot, by an
5	inmate with a gun?
6	A No. He was struck by a weapon or a bullet
7	from a weapon fired by a member of the State Police.
8	Q Because I didn't know that. I am asking that
9	just to know because I thought we have heard so much
10	that theinmates did have a lot of dangerous weapons
11	but not guns.
12	So I wondered why.
13	A No, the only weaponsthe only gun they had
14	was what you call a teargas gun which is a deadly
15	seapon in itself. The shells are quite large. I'm
16	sure that if one was discharged it would go right
17	through a person. It could be fatal. But what happened
18	with Lieutenant Christian, you weren't here yesterday,
19	I was talking to him last night and where he was knocked
20	down by an inmate and another inmate he told me last
21	night stood over him and he thought he had an axe and
22	was ready to hit him on the head with an ace and at
23	that time several troopers fired trying to save his
24	life.
25	One of the bullets then did strike the Lieute-
	4
FI	

nant. 2147
MRS. GUERRIERO: Thank you.
MR. MC KAY: Colonel Miller, you have
been most patient in answering our questions.
Now it is your turn.
Do you wish to make a statement without
interruption by us, you are free to do so.
Would you like to?
THE WITNESS: Thank you very much,
Mr. McKay.
I think I have tried to express my opinion
and tried to give you answers as truthful as I
know how and also have voiced my feeling so far
as the State Police are concerned.
I don't care to make any other state-
ment at this time. If at a later date before you
adjourn, if I change my thinking, I will be in
touch with you or Mr. Liman and ask for the courtesy
of giving a prepared statement.
MR. MC KAY: We would be very glad to
have your comments at any time.
Thank you very much for being with us
today.
(Witness excused.)
MR. LIMAN: Dr. Sellick.

1	1
	DR. GENE W. SELLICK, 2148
2	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
3	by Mr. McKay, was examined and testified as follows:
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. LIMAN:
5	Q Would you state your full name for the record?
6	A Dr. Gene W. Sellick.
7	Q Where do you live, Dr. Sellick?
8	A Attica, New York.
9	Q What is your occupation?
10	A I am a veterinarian.
11	Q Do you perform services, among others, for the
12	Attica correctional facility?
13	A Yes. One of our clients is the prison farm
14	associated with the Attica correctional facility, and I
15	make several calls there a week, treating cows.
16	Q How long have uou lived in Attica?
17	A I lived in Attica four and a half years.
18	Q Where did you get your degree?
19	A From Cornell University in 1963.
20	Q So you are not a native of Attica. You have
21	come rather recently?
22	A No, I am not.
23	
24	Q First, just as a general question, before the
2 7 25	uprising, what was the attitude in the town toward the
	prison? How conscious are you of the fact that the prison

exists there?

2

A Prior to the uprising, the town's people in general were not that aware of the prison. It was there. It was like another -- it was an industry, essentially. It was a source of jobs. There was no fear on the part of virtually all of the town's people.

When an inmate walked off the farm or something, we were notified of it, but most of us had no fear. On several occasions, I have taken my two young sons with me out to the prison farm and they nave absolutely no fear of these men out there, so essentially it was an atmosphere of no fear.

Q Is the prison the primary industry for Attica? A I would have to say yes, it is the biggest industry in town, yes.

Q You went to Attica on September 9th; am I correct?

A Yes. I was -- I happened to be at the place having a drink with two of my friends, and we were contacted by a member of the State Police from the Troop A Barracks approximately 5:30 in the afternoon of September 9th, and being a member of the Lions Club, we were asked -- well, the question was put to us, "I've got 400 men up there and no way to feed them. Can the Lions Club help me out?" And we said sure, we will do 2150 the best we can. And with that, the three of us proceeded to get supplies, organize equipment and call in the other members of the Lions Club, and within an hour we were feeding the State Troopers that were on the scene hamburgers and coffee and doughnuts, this type of thing.

Q

3

1

2

Did you also feed others in addition?

A Our first concern that night, Thursday night, was the State Troopers. When they were taken care of throughout the course of the evening, we served newsmen, we served volunteer firemen, virtually anybody that came by and wanted a hamburger and a cup of coffee or a doughnut, yes.

Q W

Who paid for it?

A The Lions Club paid for the supplies.

Q How many hours did you spend at this stand?

A The total number of hours, I would have to stop and figure the total.

Q Was most of your days on Friday, Saturday and Sunday --

A I was there every day. It varied from four or five hours to as long as fifteen hours on Sunday.

Q Did you mingle with the people there, speak to troopers and correction officers and others who were coming up to be served?

A Yes, I did.

4

0

Q

1

2

Where was the stand actually located?

A On Thursday evening, it was in front of the compound. It was outside of the wall. During the course of the evening, because I -- I suppose because of the logistics of having the troopers come off of their watch, come out through the main gate and out on the lawn to get refreshment, we were asked to move just inside of the gate, the main gate, in front of the administration building, and this is where our stand remained throughout the rest of the uprising.

Was that a busy area?

A This was the main area. Any visitors or anybody that was not on duty, as far as our officers were concerned, this is where they congregated, right here.

Q Did you have some difficulty in connection with serving one of the observers?

A There was an incident that happened on an evening when a member of the Citizens Committee came down to get some coffee and sandwiches, and one of our members got upset and refused him service.

The comment was made that we don't feed the enemy, go get your service with your friends. Just after that, there were several of us that didn't agree with this assessment. and we discussed it. about eight of us

5 discussed it off to the side, and it was decided that one member should go up and apologize to these people, and this fellow did that and took along some coffee and sandwiches, as I recall. Who is the observer involved in the incident? Q This was Mr. Kunstler and one of his aides Α that were refused service. Q On Monday morning you engaged in other duties besides feeding people; am I correct? А Yes, I did. What did you do on Monday morning? Q Well, when it was evident that the assault was Α imminent, we were instructed by a lieutenant from the correction -- a correctional officer lieutenant that we could leave if we wanted to. When we got this word, myself and some other members of the Lions Club went over to him and asked if it were possible, could we give any assistance. He, knowing me personally, said, "Why don't you go talk with one of the doctors and see if he could use your assistance?" Q This is one of the prison doctors? Α One of the prison doctors, yes. We asked him if we could be of any assistance to him and there were two --my colleague and I, there were two of us.

He said, "Yes, I would like to 2153 have you help me. We are short of medical help. You, with your medical knowledge, could be of assistance to us."

We were instructed as to what we could do.

Q What in fact did you do that morning?

A I was instructed to assist the medic that was stationed at the administration building. My duties were to instruct the ambulance drivers in preparing for the attack, and in other words, to roll up their windows, stay in their cabs, tie down their sleeves so that the effects of the gas would be minimal on them.

We parked the ambulances so they would be most convenient and then as the hostages originally came out, we were in the administration hallway and word was given to us as they came out, where the doctors wanted them to go.

There were three different hospitals, two of them in Batavia, one of them in Warsaw. The most seriously wounded were to go to Batavia. The less seriously wounded were to be held until the ambulance was full and sent to Warsaw.

We passed this information on to the ambulance drivers and instructed them where to go. In addition, we were told -- I was told to assist the medic in administering first aid that we could, that we deemed was necessary.

I am talking in terms of

applying compresses, this type of thing, which I might add, when the confusion of the thing came about, we did not -we did not have time to administer much first aid. Very little. Our main concern was to get these fellows to the hospital.

2154

Q Was there any preparation that you saw for administering first aid to people on the scene?

A The primary one, the first one that I saw, and this was a man, the first hostage that came out was a man that did indeed have his throat slit, virtually from ear to ear.

Q He was alive?

A He was definitely alive. I believe this is the man that required 52 stitches to have the wound closed. There was a compress on it which had been applied by the doctors prior to the time that I -- he got to me.

I checked his wound, applied further compresses, got him in an ambulance and got him on his way within minutes from when I saw him.

Q How long after the police action started did the National Guard medical units arrive? How long did it take?

A It was some time. I would guess it was at least a half hour. All of the hostages were out and

7

2155 accounted for, and some of the inmates were coming out --I mean, they were being brought out to us, and we were still doing this same thing for them.

We were told before it started that no one -- no inmates would be brought out until all the hostages were out and accounted for, and this is indeed what did happen. But the inmates were coming out and we were still giving the same service to them before the National Guard arrived.

Q Before the National Guard medicaul units arrived, who was available in the way of doctors to give first aid to people?

A To my knowledge, there were two prison doctors available.

Q And that was all?

A And that was all, to my knowledge.

Q You were pressed into service?

A I was pressed into -- to administer first aid if I could.

Q Was there an incident involving one of the inmates who was brought out?

A Well --

Q The first one?

A Yes, the first inmate I saw had a very severe head wound. He was still conscious, however, which was -which amazes me to this day, and he was on a litter.

9 1 We spent probably four or five 2156 2 minutes talking to the ambulance driver to take this colored 3 man over to -- initially we tried to talk him into taking 4 him to the hospital. Then we were instructed this could 5 not be, he had to go to the prison hospital. 6 However, we still finally managed to talk the 7 ambulance driver to take this man over to the prison 8 hospital. 9 Q He did not want to take him to the prison 10 hospital? 11 А No, he did not. 12 0 But you managed to get him to the prison 13 hospital? 14 Α We got him there, yes. 15 Doctor, during these days prior to Monday that 0 16 you were at Attic and manning this food stand, did you 17 have an opportunity to observe the mood of the State Troopers, the correction officers, in the vicinity of your 18 19 stand? 20 Α Yes, on several occasions I was -- as these men would come and get a cup of coffee or a sandwich, would 21 stand off to the side and three or four of them would be 22 23 having a conversation, this happened several times a day and you could get a sense of -- a feeling for the mood of 24 the officers that were there, yes. 25

1

2

Q When you use the word officers, 2157 who are you referring to?

A I am referring to the Sheriff's deputies which were there with the riot squads. There were also correctional officers there too.

Q Doctor, would you tell us in your own words what this mood was and how it developed and what it became?

A On Thursday the conversation was of how the State Troopers got there. Many of them came from long distances in short periods of time at very fast speeds, with cars, and they were relating these instances.

On Friday, when they were inside of the administration -- of the main gate, the talk was primarily of the injury to the inmates -- to the prison personnel the day before.

We knew that Mr. Quinn was seriously injured and this type of thing. I think it was Saturday, I know it was Saturday, later in the evening, Mr. Quinn died and you could sense a dramatic change, I thought, in all of the people that were there. Not just the officers but all of the people, including ourselves.

The feeling was that now these inmates were all essentially guilty of murder and what did they have to lose, whereas before they had every reason to negotiate, I think.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

And about this same time, 2158 Bobby Seale came to the prison and he also created an atmosphere of tension and apprehension, and after he was there and left, there was indeed a great deal more tension and apprehension on the part of all the officers involved to the point that they knew that -- they sensed that now there probably was not going to be a negotiated settlement, they would probably have to go in. This was on Saturday evening.

Then Sunday, there was a period of time, I haven't heard it mentioned here today, but they were given, the inmates were given an ultimatum and the time limit was set on it, and for all intents and purposes I thought that everybody was going in that day because equipment was brought in, we were given instructions as to when to leave, there were firearms and so forth, men went in with fire squads, and we thought that Sunday afternoon, that was going to be an assault at that time.

Of course, Monday morning this same mood was there. Everybody was tense. Everybody was apprehensive as to what was going to happen.

Q What kind of talk was taking place on Sunday interms of epithets and words that were being used by troopers and other officers?

There were some instances where, as these

Α

1

troopers would come off their posts, 2159 they were talking about various things that they had seen happen.

As I understand it, these men were in C block and I don't know if they were in B block or not, but they could see over to these areas and they could see the inmates working on their instruments, their weapons back in the machinery shop.

There was verbal harassment back and forth when these people were in close contact, I know that. There were some derogatory comments made, some half in jest and some I don't know whether they were in jest or not.

One time it was, when are we going to go coon hunting, this type of thing. This did happen.

Q Was the word nigger used in conversation around? A I am sure it was used. I don't recall any specific instance where it sticks out in my mind.

Q What kind of remarks were being addressed about the observers and Seale and others?

A The remarks about Bobby Seale were all derogatory. It was a common feeling that this man did nothing but stir up more trouble when he came there.

There were some that felt that men such as William Kunstler were actually trying to do a good job. There were others who had no use for the job that he was

1

2

trying to do.

Q Were there a lot of rumors being passed around where the various officers were standing as to what was happening in that yard?

2160

A There were rumors to the effect that, again, perhaps in jest but they named some names of inmates and they implied that these were the homosexual leaders, and they made the comments that I bet he is having a ball and so forth and so on.

As far as rumors about the inmates -- the hostages, there were no specific rumors. There were many people wondering what went on in there. They had seen the injuries, two correction officers that came out that were sent to hospitals.

There were some people who couldn't believe the testimony of Dr. Hanson and some of those that said the inmates were being well cared for --

Q You mean that the hostages were being well cared for?

A That the hostages were being well cared for. But most people did not question Dr. Hanson, especially those familiar with him.

Q Was there a rumor of castration floating around? A We heard some rumors that men had been molested in a sexual manner, yes.

1

2

3

4

Q Was there any discussion about 2161 people having particular inmate leaders in the cross hairs of their weapons?

A The comment was made by some officers, and to be specific, it was both State troopers and correction officers, I believe -- I am sure of the correction officers anyhow -- where they were on post, they had seen these inmates, leaders on television boasting, showing their bravado, that they had seen, observed these inmate leaders in D yard from their posts, and the comment was made if I get the opportunity, I won't miss. This type of thing.

Q What was the kind of conversation that took place after the police action on Monday when you returned to the stand?

A First of all, there were a couple of instances that happened. There were many scenes of emotion outside in this area between the main gate and the administration building.

One thing I think that I should bring out was that there was great emotion amongst some of the correction officers, and I saw many instances where the leaders, lieutenants, the sergeants of correction -- in the correction officer system, they did their -- what I considered their best to see that these men that were emotionally upset were immediately taken 2162 off the prison grounds. They were asked -- they were escort ed out through the main gate and told not -- it was seen to that they did not come back in. This did indeed happen on several occasions.

I know of one where the man's brother-in-law was fatally wounded and he virtually went beserk, and they let him out.

There were other conversations, I am speaking now of four and five hours later, of relief that it was over. There was sadness that so much loss did occur.

There were stories, conversations I overheard where -- one instance of a fire team leader was, shall we say bragging about how accurate one of his men was with a tear gas gun and the fact that he put several rounds through the very tiny windows in Times Square.

17 There was a story that I overheard of a rifleman 18 who had an inmate in his sights that was holding one of 19 the hostages up on the catwalk and this rifleman made the 20 statement that he asked his commanding officer to please 21 let him fire because he had the man, he could disarm the 22 man and kill the man with one shot, and this was within 23 five minutes prior to when the assault took place. The 24 order was not given. He was told to hold his fire.

The comment was made in this conversation that

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

he thought this man was probably one of 2163 the first, if not the first to fire. Just prior to this he cried, "I could see blood on his knife. My God, he is cutting his throat. Please let me fire."

The forder still was not to fire. When the order was given, he did just as he said he would.

This is as the officer was relating it. He did just as he said he would, he disarmed and killed the man with one shot. This is the story I heard.

Q Was there also stories about the use of deer slugs?

A There was one incident, two officers were talking and one asked the other, did you see that one on top of the catwalk, and I'm not sure which catwalk it was, what hit that man?

Apparently there was an inmate running and he virtually, when he was hit, he was in mid-stride and his legs stopped and he did a complete somersault in the air and hit the top of the catwalk and never moved.

This conversation was carried on, the one officer said to the other one, it had to be a deer slug that hit him.

Q How has the incident at Attica affected the town, Doctor?

A Well, Attica will never quite be the same. For

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

one reason, I think they are more aware 2164 of the problems that are up on the hill, shall we say. They are more acutely aware of some of the dangers that the correction officers are facing.

They are more acutely aware of the need for prison reform, and there is still today much discussion on what can be done, what should be done.

Q Has it polarized the town?

A I think it has polarized the town to a certain extent. I think those people who were pretty far right of center to begin with went further right.

I think the racist element became more racist. The responsible middle of the road citizens took the viewpoint that what can we do, let's not let these men die there in vain.

From this, let's see if we can go forward and accomplish something in the area of prison reform, in the area of rehabilitation.

Q Doctor, we gave you a subpoena to come but it caused a rather painful decision on your part to come here and talk about some of the things that you have told us; am I correct?

A Yes.

Q And you talked it over with a number of poeple, including correction officers, including one who was a 18 hostage?

A Yes. Well, I am in a position professionally where if my testimony is misinterpreted, it could hurt me professionally.

Q What did you tell me last night as to why you decided to come and speak your mind?

A Well, my position is this. If my testimony is misinterpreted, I will have to let the chips fall where they may.

I am sure it won't put me out of business because if these people want to misinterpret it this way, I really don't want them as friends. It is about that simple.

As far as talking with some of these other people, I have in my employ a man who works part time for us, who is a correction officer, has been for twenty some years. He was one of the hostages. I have had great discussions with him and to a man, he and another correction officer who was not a hostage, my friends in the business, in my office, all told me to come down and just tell it like it was and let the chips fall where they may.

Q You discussed this with other members of the Lions Club also, who gave you the same advice?

Α

One other member who was closely associated

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with our effort there, yes. And he told me essentially the same thing. He said if you are going to testify, tell it like it is and let the chips fall.

MR. LIMAN: I have no further questions.

MR. MC KAY: Mr. Sellick, the members of the Commission have no questions, but I would not want you to understand that is a lack of interest in the fine statement you have made.

We are deeply grateful for your coming to say the things you have had to say. We believe it is important.

You know under our rules that you have an opportunity to make a statement on your own, not in response to questions.

Would you like to do so?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if this is the opportunity or not to make a statement. I would just say this, that the people of Attica I think more acutely than much of society are more aware of the need for prison reforms, shall we call it, prison reform in two areas.

There has been much discussion about who the leaders were in the uprising and it is an opinion -the opinion has been expressed many times that many of these same leaders were also the leaders of other

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

uprisings in the recent past.

And we don't seem to be able to understand why these men -- why these men were still in Attica, in a position where they could lead another uprising.

2167

It is from this discussion we feel that perhaps this idea of separating these men from those who are -- want to be rehabilitated and can be rehabilitated, we think that this should be done as soon as possible.

Otherwise, any rehabilitation programs you have are going to be very ineffective, to say the least, I think.

And there is another area of reform that many of us have discussed, and that is in the area primarily of public attitude toward people who have been convicted of felonies.

We feel that the public attitude has to be changed and changed not only in their minds, but in the form of laws so that these men who have paid their debt to society have an opportunity, through their own efforts, through their own demonstration, conscientious effort, to become first class citizens again.

As I undersand it, felons are not, cannot become so-called first class citizens for the rest

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of their lives because of the laws we have today, and I think that these laws have to be changed so that these men have the opportunity -- I don't say give it to them but I think they should have the opportunity to do this, and if you do this, then your rehabilitation programs can become effective and you can make the job of correction officers much easier because contrary to much opinion, there are, the vast majority of the officers working in Attica do indeed try to do a rehabilitation job.

The man who works for us who was a hostage was in charge of the chapel. Not because he is a religious man or anything, but because of his abilities to talk with these people and in twenty years he has

been a father to many of these men.

But without the inmate's opportunity to become first class citizens again, I think your rehabilitation programs are all worthless unless you can give them that opportunity to do so and, of course, to start with, I don't think that any rehabilitation program can be effective if they are in a radical, militant atmosphere with some of these men who just are outcasts from society.

Those are the main points that I wanted to Thank you very much. say.

	22
1	MR. MC KAY: We appreciate 2169
2	your statement, and thank you very much for your
3	attendance.
4	MR. LIMAN: I think that we may have time
5	for one more witness, Mr. Machicote, if he can be
6	brought down.
7	(Witness excused.)
8	MR. LIMAN: Our next witness, if he arrives,
9	is a Puerto Rican inmate from Attica who is Spanish
10	speaking, who was wounded during the police action
11	and who will testify of the circumstances as it
12	affected him, a person who spoke only Spanish and does
13	not comprehend English.
14	MR. MC CAY: Mr. Machicote, do you understand
15	me?
16	Mr. Roldan, will you translate, please.
17	ISMAEL MACHICOTE, having been first
18	duly sworn by Mr. McKay through Paul Roldan, who
19	acted as interpreter, was examined and testified in
20	Spanish, through the interpreter, as follows:
21	EXAMINATION BY MR. SACKETT:
22	Q Mr. Machicote, are you presently an inmate at
23	Attica correctional facility?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Mr. Machicote, with the possibility that you
	U Construction of the second se

23 will be continuing your testimony at some 2170		
other time, I would like to start at this particular		
moment for you to testify about what happened to you on		
September 13, 1971 with respect to the police assault.		
And with that in mind, please tell us what		
happened to you in D yard when the heliocopter dropped the		
ga s .		
Mr. Roldan, would you please ask the witness to		
actually get close to the mike so his answers can be		
heard in his own language.		
You may now translate to him my question.		
A I was wounded in the right leg.		
Q Could you tell us, Mr. Machicote, in your own		
words, what happened from the time that the heliocopter		
dropped the gas until you were brought to the prison		
hospital?		
A I was laying down on the floor and some agents		
came over and spoke to me in English and told me something		
in English, but I didn't understand what they were saying.		
Q Please continue.		
A They kept talking to me and I didn't know who was		
talking to me. I looked up and there were two policemen		
there and I didn't understand what they were saying.		
Q Yes, please continue.		
A When they saw that I made no move, they walked		
۲		

Q

1

2

3

back and they shot at me.

Q Mr. Machicote, when the policemen as you described them moved away from you, did you continue to look at them or did you hide your face?

A No, when they pointed their guns at me, I hid my face.

And then what happened?

A Then I heard a shot that hit me in the right leg. Q Mr. Machicote, could you please just stand and point to the place where the bullet entered your leg?

MR. SACKETT: Let the record indicate he is pointing to the lateral aspect of his right thigh. Q Mr. Machicote, could you then tell us what happened after you got shot?

A After they shot me, one of them kicked me about four, five times right in the wound.

Q And then what happened?

A Then they walked away.

Then they came back again and they hit me on the leg. I don't know whether it was with a club or a pipe. I don't know, but I was hit on the leg.

Q After that, what happened?

A They then came back again and they told me to get up -- that is, he says -- there was nother Puerto Rican inmate there who spoke English and he told me what

25

____**25**

А

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

they were saying. Essentially he told me 2172 to get up, that they were saying for me to get up because I couldn't understand, I had to ask the Puerto Rican what they were saying, and then I got up and he helped me.

Q Did this other Puerto Rican inmate assist you to get over near the trench by the D tunnel?

Yes, he helped me to the trench.

Q Then what happened at the trench?

A I told the Puerto Rican inmate to tell one of the troopers that I was wounded so that they would give me some medical attention.

Q And did they? Did there come a time when they gave you medical attention? What did the troopers do at that point?

A This other Puerto Rican inmate told the troopers that I wanted medical attention, and cne of the troopers pulled me by the hand, grabbed me by the wrist and dragged me further away from the Puerto Rican inmate.

0

And then what happened after that?

A They kept going by and they were picking up other people and no one gave me any medical attention, and then subsequently, two other troopers came over and one of them put his leg on my stomach and pressed down on it.

Q You mean the trooper took his -- he placed his

	26
1	boot on your stomach and pressed down- 2173
2	ward? Is that what you are saying?
3	A Yes, and he pressed on my stomach with his boots
4	Q What happened after that?
5	A I was told by the other Puerto Rican inmate
6	that the troopers were telling him, when you address the
7	troopers, you are to call them yes, sir and no, sir.
8	Q Did there come a time when you were taken into
9	another yard?
10	A Yes, but they took a while before they took me
11	to the next yard.
12	Q Did there come a time when you were taken to the
13	hospital at the prison?
14	A They took me out from that yard, they took me
15	outside and I was they took me out to the yard, to
16	another yard outside somewhere, I don't know where outside.
17	and I was left there for a longer time, and then
18	Q Then what happened?
19	A They kept me there for a while and then they took
20	me to the hospital.
21	Q How much time went by, do you think, from the
22	time that the gas dropped to the time that you were taken
23	to the hospital?
24	A I have no recollection. I don't know.
25	Q But would you say it was more than one hour?
1	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q Did anything happen at the hospital, did they take care of your wound?

A They kept me there for a while. Then they came by, they put some bandaids -- they bandaged my leg and then they took me to another room.

Q Mr. Machicote, did -- speaking of hospitals at Attica, are there any Spanish speaking personnel or people that work at the hospital that would be able to translate for you in case you had to go to the doctor at Attica about any medical problem?

A No.

Q How long, Mr. Machicote, have you been at Attica Correctional Facility?

A About eight months. I am not sure, about eight months or a year.

Q Mr. Machicote, what education do you have? A Second year of grammar school. Q Are you from Puerto Rico, Mr. Machicote? A Yes. Q Is that where you were educated? A Yes.

Q When did you come to the United States?
A I don't remember.

Q Mr. Machicote, are you going to school at

	28			
1	Attica; is there any program where you 2175			
2	could join to be able to learn English?			
3	A There were no schools and there is no Spanish			
4	instructors so how could I go to school?			
5	Q Mr. Machicote, do you have any relatives in New			
6	York City?			
7	A All my family.			
8	Q Do you have any other relatives that are outside			
9	of New York City, that may be close to Attica?			
10	A Only in New York.			
11	Q How many visits have you had at the prison since			
12	the time that you have been at Attica?			
13	A About three or four times.			
14	Q Mr. Machicote, has any of your relatives expressed			
15	to you the problem about getting to Attica to visit you			
16	since it is approximately 800 miles round trip from New			
17	York City?			
18	A They have told me that it is quite far and it is			
19	very difficult for them to come and visit me, it takes			
20	quite a bit of money.			
21	They spend a lot of money because to go and			
22	visit me, because it is too far.			
23	MR. SACKETT: At this time I would like to			
24	call Mrs. Julie Birrier, who is the sister of Mr.			
25	Machicote.			

	29	
1		Mr. Roldan, maybe you can 2176
2	6*	give that mike to Mrs. Birrier and you use that one.
3		Thank you.
4		Mrs. Birrier, I take it you are the
5		sister of Mr. Machicote?
6		MRS. BIRRIER: Yes, sir.
7		MR. SACKETT: Could you tell us the kind of
8		problems that are involved in visiting your brother
9		at Attica?
10		MRS. BIRRIER: Yes, sir.
11		MR. SACKETT: Just tell us in your own
12		words what the problems are that the problems
13		that you have living in New York City and having to
14		go to Attica, that distance from New York City.
15		MRS. BIRRIER: It becomes very difficult
16		for us to get up to Attica. I have to we have
17		to pay \$60 to the chauffeur and we have to pay all
18		the tolls, the gas and food, and we leave at Friday,
19		9 p.m., and we don't get to Attica until about
20		10 o'clock the next morning.
21		MR. SACKETT: How much do you think it costs
22		all together each time that you have to go to
23		Attica and return, to visit your brother?
24		MRS. BIRRIER: About \$200.
25		MR. SACKETT: Do you know of any friends of

30 2177 1 yours that have relatives at Attica that encounter the same problems? 2 MRS. BIRRIER: Yes, sir. 3 MR.SACKETT: Could you tell us about that? 4 MRS. BIRRIER: Another lady who has seven 5 6 children and is very poor, and she has not been able to visit her huskand because she cannot afford 7 8 the trip. MR. SACKETT: Has this friend asked you to 9 see if she could get a ride in your car when you go? 10 MRS. BIRRIER: Yes, she contacted us and 11 she asked us to let her know if she could ride with 12 us because otherwise she could never visit her 13 husband. 14 MR. SACKETT: Thank you very much, Mrs. 15 Birrier. 16 All right, I think that will conclude the 17 testimony of Mr. Machicote today. 18 MR. MC KAY: Mr. Machicote, the Commission 19 has no questions but we thank you and your sister 20 very much for being with us today. 21 Mr. Roldan, has Mr. Machicote been advised 22 that he has a right to make a statement if he 23 wishes? 24 (Mr. Roldan speaks to the witness in 25

	31
1	Spanish.) 2178
2	THE WITNESS: I don't have anything to say.
3	MR. MC KAY: Thank you very much for being
4	with us.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	MR. MC KAY: The hearing will recess
7	until tomorrow morning at 9 a.m.
8	(Time noted: 6:40 p.m.)
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE OF NEW
6	COUNTY OF NE
7	
8	
9	Notary
10	York, d
11	
12	in the
13	and tha
14	of said
15	
16	to any
17	or marr
18	in the
19	
20	my hand
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

V YORK) SS EW YORK)

> I, LEON ZUCK, a Shorthand Reporter and Public within and for the State of New lo hereby certify:

That I reported the continued proceedings within entitled matter (pages 1828-2178) at the within transcript is a true record d proceedings.

I further certify that I am not related of the parties to this action by blood riage; and that I am in no way interested outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 1 this28th day of April 1972.

LEON